

A G E N D A

Health Scrutiny Committee

Date: **Thursday, 12th October, 2006**

Time: **11.00 a.m.**

Place: **The Council Chamber,
Brockington, 35 Hafod Road,
Hereford**

Notes: Please note the **time, date** and **venue** of
the meeting.

For any further information please contact:

*Tim Brown, Members' Services, Tel 01432
260239*

E-Mail: tbrown@herefordshire.gov.uk

**County of Herefordshire
District Council**

AGENDA

for the Meeting of the Health Scrutiny Committee

To: Councillor W.J.S. Thomas (Chairman)
Councillor T.M. James (Vice-Chairman)

Councillors Mrs. W.U. Attfield, Mrs. E.M. Bew, G.W. Davis, J.G. Jarvis,
Brig. P. Jones CBE, G. Lucas, R. Mills, Ms. G.A. Powell and J.B. Williams

	Pages
1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE	
To receive apologies for absence.	
2. NAMED SUBSTITUTES (IF ANY)	
To receive details of any Member nominated to attend the meeting in place of a Member of the Committee.	
3. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST	
To receive any declarations of interest by Members in respect of items on this agenda.	
4. MINUTES	1 - 8
To approve and sign the Minutes of the meeting held on 5th September, 2006	
5. SUGGESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC ON ISSUES FOR FUTURE SCRUTINY	
To consider suggestions from members of the public on issues the Committee could scrutinise in the future.	
6. ANNUAL REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH 2006	
To receive a presentation on the Annual Report of the Director of Public Health and consider issues arising from it.	
7. HEREFORD HOSPITALS NHS TRUST - FOUNDATION TRUST STATUS (TO FOLLOW)	
To consider the response to the public consultation inviting views on the Hospitals Trust seeking Foundation Trust Status.	
8. HEALTH SCRUTINY COMMITTEE WORK PROGRAMME	9 - 12
To consider the Committee's work programme.	

PUBLIC INFORMATION

HEREFORDSHIRE COUNCIL'S SCRUTINY COMMITTEES

The Council has established Scrutiny Committees for Adult Social Care and Strategic Housing, Childrens' Services, Community Services, Environment, and Health. A Strategic Monitoring Committee scrutinises corporate matters and co-ordinates the work of these Committees.

The purpose of the Committees is to ensure the accountability and transparency of the Council's decision making process.

The principal roles of Scrutiny Committees are to

- Help in developing Council policy
- Probe, investigate, test the options and ask the difficult questions before and after decisions are taken
- Look in more detail at areas of concern which may have been raised by the Cabinet itself, by other Councillors or by members of the public
- "call in" decisions - this is a statutory power which gives Scrutiny Committees the right to place a decision on hold pending further scrutiny.
- Review performance of the Council
- Conduct Best Value reviews
- Undertake external scrutiny work engaging partners and the public

Formal meetings of the Committees are held in public and information on your rights to attend meetings and access to information are set out overleaf

PUBLIC INFORMATION

Public Involvement at Scrutiny Committee Meetings

You can contact Councillors and Officers at any time about Scrutiny Committee matters and issues which you would like the Scrutiny Committees to investigate.

There are also two other ways in which you can directly contribute at Herefordshire Council's Scrutiny Committee meetings.

1. Identifying Areas for Scrutiny

At the meeting the Chairman will ask the members of the public present if they have any issues which they would like the Scrutiny Committee to investigate, however, there will be no discussion of the issue at the time when the matter is raised. Councillors will research the issue and consider whether it should form part of the Committee's work programme when compared with other competing priorities.

Please note that the Committees can only scrutinise items which fall within their specific remit (see below). If a matter is raised which falls within the remit of another Scrutiny Committee then it will be noted and passed on to the relevant Chairman for their consideration.

2. Questions from Members of the Public for Consideration at Scrutiny Committee Meetings and Participation at Meetings

You can submit a question for consideration at a Scrutiny Committee meeting so long as the question you are asking is directly related to an item listed on the agenda. If you have a question you would like to ask then please submit it **no later than two working days before the meeting** to the Committee Officer. This will help to ensure that an answer can be provided at the meeting. Contact details for the Committee Officer can be found on the front page of this agenda.

Generally, members of the public will also be able to contribute to the discussion at the meeting. This will be at the Chairman's discretion.

(Please note that the Scrutiny Committees are not able to discuss questions relating to personal or confidential issues.)

Remits of Herefordshire Council's Scrutiny Committees

Adult Social Care and Strategic Housing

*Statutory functions for adult social services including:
Learning Disabilities
Strategic Housing
Supporting People
Public Health*

Children's Services

Provision of services relating to the well-being of children including education, health and social care.

Community Services Scrutiny Committee

*Libraries
Cultural Services including heritage and tourism
Leisure Services
Parks and Countryside
Community Safety
Economic Development
Youth Services*

Health

*Planning, provision and operation of health services affecting the area
Health Improvement
Services provided by the NHS*

Environment

*Environmental Issues
Highways and Transportation*

Strategic Monitoring Committee

*Corporate Strategy and Finance
Resources
Corporate and Customer Services
Human Resources*

The Public's Rights to Information and Attendance at Meetings

YOU HAVE A RIGHT TO: -

- Attend all Council, Cabinet, Committee and Sub-Committee meetings unless the business to be transacted would disclose 'confidential' or 'exempt' information.
- Inspect agenda and public reports at least five clear days before the date of the meeting.
- Inspect minutes of the Council and all Committees and Sub-Committees and written statements of decisions taken by the Cabinet or individual Cabinet Members for up to six years following a meeting.
- Inspect background papers used in the preparation of public reports for a period of up to four years from the date of the meeting. (A list of the background papers to a report is given at the end of each report). A background paper is a document on which the officer has relied in writing the report and which otherwise is not available to the public.
- Access to a public Register stating the names, addresses and wards of all Councillors with details of the membership of Cabinet and of all Committees and Sub-Committees.
- Have a reasonable number of copies of agenda and reports (relating to items to be considered in public) made available to the public attending meetings of the Council, Cabinet, Committees and Sub-Committees.
- Have access to a list specifying those powers on which the Council have delegated decision making to their officers identifying the officers concerned by title.
- Copy any of the documents mentioned above to which you have a right of access, subject to a reasonable charge (20p per sheet subject to a maximum of £5.00 per agenda plus a nominal fee of £1.50 for postage).
- Access to this summary of your rights as members of the public to attend meetings of the Council, Cabinet, Committees and Sub-Committees and to inspect and copy documents.

Please Note:

Agenda and individual reports can be made available in large print. Please contact the officer named on the front cover of this agenda **in advance** of the meeting who will be pleased to deal with your request.

The Council Chamber where the meeting will be held is accessible for visitors in wheelchairs, for whom toilets are also available.

A public telephone is available in the reception area.

Public Transport Links

- Public transport access can be gained to Brockington via the service runs approximately every half hour from the 'Hopper' bus station at the Tesco store in Bewell Street (next to the roundabout junction of Blueschool Street / Victoria Street / Edgar Street).
- The nearest bus stop to Brockington is located in Old Eign Hill near to its junction with Hafod Road. The return journey can be made from the same bus stop.

If you have any questions about this agenda, how the Council works or would like more information or wish to exercise your rights to access the information described above, you may do so either by telephoning the officer named on the front cover of this agenda or by visiting in person during office hours (8.45 a.m. - 5.00 p.m. Monday - Thursday and 8.45 a.m. - 4.45 p.m. Friday) at the Council Offices, Brockington, 35 Hafod Road, Hereford.



Where possible this agenda is printed on paper made from 100% Post-Consumer waste. De-inked without bleaching and free from optical brightening agents (OBA). Awarded the Nordic Swan for low emissions during production and the Blue Angel environmental label.

COUNTY OF HEREFORDSHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL

BROCKINGTON, 35 HAFOD ROAD, HEREFORD.

FIRE AND EMERGENCY EVACUATION PROCEDURE

In the event of a fire or emergency the alarm bell will ring continuously.

You should vacate the building in an orderly manner through the nearest available fire exit.

You should then proceed to Assembly Point J which is located at the southern entrance to the car park. A check will be undertaken to ensure that those recorded as present have vacated the building following which further instructions will be given.

Please do not allow any items of clothing, etc. to obstruct any of the exits.

Do not delay your vacation of the building by stopping or returning to collect coats or other personal belongings.

COUNTY OF HEREFORDSHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL

MINUTES of the meeting of Health Scrutiny Committee held at The Council Chamber, Brockington, 35 Hafod Road, Hereford on Tuesday, 5th September, 2006 at 2.30 p.m.

Present: Councillor W.J.S. Thomas (Chairman)
Councillor T.M. James (Vice-Chairman)

Councillors: Mrs. W.U. Attfield, G.W. Davis, J.G. Jarvis,
Brig. P. Jones CBE, G. Lucas and J.B. Williams

In attendance: Mrs A. Stoakes, Vice-Chairman of the Primary Care Trust Patient and Public Involvement Forum.

57. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies were received from Councillor R. Mills and Ms G.A. Powell. Apologies were also received from Mr J. Wilkinson, Chairman of the Primary Care Trust Patient and Public Involvement Forum.

58. NAMED SUBSTITUTES

There were no named substitutes.

59. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest.

60. MINUTES

RESOLVED: That the Minutes of the meeting held on 15th June be confirmed as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

61. SUGGESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC ON ISSUES FOR FUTURE SCRUTINY

There were no suggestions.

62. HEREFORD HOSPITALS NHS TRUST - FOUNDATION TRUST STATUS

The Committee received a presentation from the Chief Executive of the Hereford Hospitals NHS Trust on the consultation exercise which had been launched inviting views on the Hospitals Trust seeking Foundation Trust Status.

Mr Rose had briefed the Committee in June on the consideration being given to an application for Foundation Trust Status as part of his presentation on the work of the Trust in the preceding year and future plans and thoughts.

Copies of the published consultation document, "Your hospital in your hands" and the published summary were circulated at the meeting.

Principal issues raised in Mr Rose's presentation were as follows:

- **The Trust's Track Record.** Mr Rose commented that it was the Trust's track record which enabled it to consider applying for Foundation Trust status. It was one of the best performing Acute Trusts in relation to improvements to access and treatment times in the West Midlands. It was a national exemplar site for Cancer Services, had established a much needed unit for stroke patients and had a dedicated workforce focused on the needs of the patients. The Trust had also balanced its books in the last two financial years.
- **The key features of being a Foundation Trust.** The Foundation Trust would be a not for profit hospital business providing care mostly to the NHS. It was accountable to staff and local people who could become members or governors of the Foundation Trust. It was free from Central Government Control and the Strategic Health Authority, being answerable instead to the Independent Regulator of Foundation Trusts (Monitor). It was not required to break even each year but had to be financially viable. There was a potential advantage in being able to retain any surpluses (a surplus of £2,000 had remained in 2005/06) and borrow money. The Trust would be independent, making it much more difficult for it to be taken over. It would need to understand what people wanted and work with Commissioners of services to ensure that it could stay in business.
- **The reasons why the Trust wanted to become a Foundation Trust.** The vision in five years time was that of a strong, independent hospital, accountable to the local community not Government Ministers. The Trust would provide the best hospital experience for patients, make decisions locally benefiting from strong partnerships with GPs and others, use funding flexibly to improve services, be paid for the patients it treated under legally binding contracts and be the hospital of choice.
- **The risks of becoming a Foundation Trust.** The Government's expectation was that all Trusts would eventually become Foundation Trusts, but with the possibility of larger Trusts being formed. However, there was the danger if Hereford did not seek Foundation Trust Status that it could be taken over by a neighbouring Foundation Trust were one to be established. On the other hand if patients did not choose the County Hospital, a particular risk if GPs did not recommend it, the Foundation Trust could struggle to survive. There were also risks in the requirement to meet legally binding contracts, particularly in some smaller specialities. The Foundation Trust would lose its licence if it were unsuccessful which could include going bust. If this were to occur the Foundation Trust would be taken back into NHS ownership or taken over by another Trust.
- **Governance Structures.** Mr Rose explained the proposed governance arrangements comprising the members of the Foundation Trust (public, stakeholders and staff), the Council of Governors and the Board of Directors, how they would be elected and their respective roles (as described in the consultation document). He particularly invited the Committee's views on the proposed number of Governors and the proposal that there should be a minimum age limit of being a member of the Foundation Trust of fourteen years old. He noted that responses to date showed 50% in favour of a minimum age limit of fourteen, with 48% against and 2% undecided.
- The consultation process was outlined and the feedback to date which indicated 86% support for a Trust. This was complemented by an indication that 93% would choose Hereford hospital if they required treatment (the recommendation

of the GP being an important aspect in this thinking).

In conclusion Mr Rose drew attention to the role of the Independent Regulator in determining whether the Hospital Trust's application for Foundation Trust status was viable. The Trust's current view was that further work needed to be undertaken with social care and health partners if an application were to be successful.

A number of questions were asked and a number of points made. These are summarised below.

- A question was asked about whether, as a small Trust, the Trust's senior management costs were disproportionately high and a burden on the Trust's finances. Mr Rose replied that the Trust had balanced its budget for the last 5-6 years, even though as a PFI hospital there were some higher costs to be met for some services compared with other NHS hospitals. Action had been taken to achieve a lean management structure, although there was a concern that it was now almost too lean to deliver all that was now being demanded of it. A leadership programme had been developed for the top 40 managers in the Trust to grow capacity locally, because the Trust could not rely on being able to recruit externally. Management costs were, however, a potential risk to the finances of a Foundation Trust.
- Mr Rose acknowledged that, unlike a university teaching hospital, Hereford Hospital was reliant for all its income on patients choosing to use the hospital. There was a possibility that even if there was public support for an application for Foundation Trust status the Hospital Trust Board may consider it too much of a risk to proceed at this time. He reiterated that the Independent Regulator made a rigorous assessment of applications.
- The question of the costs associated with running PFI hospitals was raised. Mr Rose stated that the Government had issued national guidance on where the level of costs might become problematic. Hereford Hospital's financial commitments under the PFI scheme were below the thresholds the Government had identified. He added that, whatever happened, £1 million a month for 26 years had to be paid to the run the site whether it was used or not. This was a strong argument for the site's future as an acute hospital.
- In relation to the flow of Welsh patients to Hereford hospital he said that he thought it unlikely that a new hospital would be built in Powys. Provision at Abergavenny was being moved to the South West of the area. It therefore appeared that there might potentially be an opportunity to increase the numbers choosing Hereford hospital.
- Asked about the impact of the scope for GPs to use alternative providers Mr Rose said that the Trust wanted to demonstrate that it could remain viable if it lost some referrals. Discussions were taking place with GPs explaining the importance of patients being referred to the hospital if it were to succeed.
- Mr Rose confirmed that, although independent of the NHS, Foundation Trusts were still subject to the NHS's clinical standards.
- Concern was expressed about the proposed governance arrangements. It was suggested these would reduce the level of public accountability. The level of representation from Powys was also questioned. It was also argued that the minimum age for being a member of the Foundation Trust should be 18 at which age people were legally accountable for advice and decisions.

- The question was raised as to how much worse off the Trust would be if it did nothing, whilst recognising that the current Government policy was that Foundation Trust status should be sought. An assurance was sought that if the Trust Board decided not to make an application pressure to take a different course would be resisted. In reply Mr Rose said he was happy to give that assurance, referring again to the role of the Independent Regulator and the stringent tests to which applications were subjected, noting that of the 40 applications made to date 20 had already been rejected.
- The extent to which a Foundation Trust would be truly independent of the Government was questioned.

The Committee noted the current position and that it would wish to consider developments before formulating its formal response to the consultation exercise.

63. SPECIALIST CHILDREN'S SERVICES DEVELOPMENT

The Committee considered a draft consultation document on the possibility of developing a central building for specialist community services for children with developmental problems/disabilities.

Mr Euan McPherson, Patient Advice and Liaison Service co-ordinator, informed the Committee that the Primary Care Trust would welcome its comments on the content of the draft consultation document, a copy of which was appended to the report, the proposed consultation process and the timescale. He gave a presentation setting out the key aspects of the consultation document.

It was noted that a 13 week consultation period was proposed, running from 25th September, 2006 until 22nd December, 2006.

The following principal points were made in the ensuing discussion:

- The Primary Care Trust's Director of Corporate Services advised that the proposed Centre would not be a panacea but would help to deliver better co-ordinated services.
- The Committee welcomed the opportunity to comment on the draft documentation. It was suggested the consultation document needed to set out clearly what services were provided, the numbers of children involved and the costs of the relevant services.
- It was also suggested that a summary of the consultation document would be helpful. In response it was noted that a draft summary had been prepared and would be circulated to the Committee for comment. It was proposed that to expedite matters Members would be invited to submit any further comments to the Chairman so that these could be forwarded to the Primary Care Trust.

RESOLVED:

That (a) the summary of the consultation document be circulated to Members of the Committee and it be requested that comments be submitted to the Chairman by a specified date so that the Primary Care Trust could be advised accordingly;

and

(b) that the proposed timescale for the consultation be endorsed.

64. "A STRONGER LOCAL VOICE"

The Committee considered a response to the Department of Health Consultation document: "A Stronger Local Voice – A Framework for Creating a Stronger Local Voice in the Development of Health and Social Care Services."

The report explained the Department of Health's (DH's) proposal to replace the Patient and Public Involvement Forums with Local Involvement Networks (LINKs). It summarised the purpose of the consultation document, the questions set out in the document to which responses were specifically invited and a suggested response.

The response of the Primary Care Trust's Patient and Public Involvement Forum (PCT PPIF) had been circulated separately to the Committee.

In the course of discussion the following principal points were made:

- The Chairman remarked on the valuable work undertaken by the Primary Care Trust's Patient and Public Involvement Forum and the importance of retaining the skills and knowledge which had been developed.
- It was noted that the PCT PPIF was particularly concerned that the current rights to visit and inspect NHS premises would be lost. It would also like support for the LINK to be arranged by the Local Authority.
- The Director of Adult and Community Services observed that the consultation document stated that each local authority with social services responsibilities would be appropriately funded to carry out a new statutory duty to make arrangements providing for the establishment of a LINK in its area. The suggestion was that the local authorities themselves would tender for a host organisation to run the LINK.
- The Committee acknowledged the concerns that the rights for visiting and inspection of NHS premises may disappear were noted. It was stated that it was important that these rights were preserved under any new system.
- That if the new arrangements were to succeed it was essential that the Government funding provided was sufficient for the purpose and that the amount allocated to each authority was clearly identified and ring-fenced.

RESOLVED:

THAT (a) the proposed response to the DoH's document, 'A Stronger Local Voice' as set out in the report be approved with the addition of the Committee's concerns about the need for clarity of the funding of the new arrangements and the preservation of the existing rights held by Forums to visit and inspect NHS premises;

and

(b) a further report be presented to a future meeting once the related legislation has been passed.

(Councillor T.M. James Vice-Chairman in the Chair)

65. SCRUTINY REVIEW OF COMMUNICATION IN THE LOCAL HEALTH SERVICE

The Committee considered the findings of the Communication Review Group following its review of the Local Health Service's communications strategy and procedures.

The Chairman of the Review Group, Councillor Brigadier P. Jones C.B.E., presented the report summarising the work undertaken and the key findings.

The Chief Executive of Hereford Hospitals Trust confirmed that he accepted the findings and would take action accordingly.

RESOLVED:

- That**
- (a) the findings of the Review of Communication be approved for recommendation to the Primary Care Trust and the Hospitals Trust;**
- and**
- (b) the response of Primary Care Trust and the Hospitals Trust to the Review be reported to the first available meeting of the Committee after the Trust has approved its response, with consideration then being given to the need for any further reports to be made.**

(Councillor W.J.S. Thomas, Chairman in the Chair)

66. SCRUTINY REVIEW OF GP OUT OF HOURS SERVICES

The Committee considered the findings of the GP Out of Hours Service Review Group following its review of the GP Out of Hours Service.

The Chairman of the Review Group, Councillor W.J.S. Thomas presented the report summarising the work undertaken and the key findings.

The Deputy Chief Executive of the Primary Care Trust, Mr Simon Hairsnape, was invited to comment. He informed the Committee of the progress which he considered had been made during the three years in which the PCT had been working with Primecare. He considered the arrangements were now working quite well and meeting the needs of local people if not necessarily all their wants. Primecare, the out of hours provider, had shown a readiness to learn and improve.

It was suggested at the meeting that there was still a perception that the out of hours service was not performing as well as it might and some specific examples were given of where it had not done so. It was noted that there were potential implications for the Accident and Emergency Department if the view were to prevail that the simplest course of action if care was needed out of hours was to attend A&E. It was also important that appropriate use was made of the minor injury units.

In response Mr Hairsnape reiterated that he considered that the service had improved but there was the potential for confusion and room for further improvement remained. Nonetheless the out of hours service in Herefordshire compared very well with the best. At a recent conference hosted by the Department of Health and the National Audit Office (NAO), following the publication of the NAO report: "The Provision of out of Hours Care in England", Herefordshire had been held up as an example of good practice.

He added that the current use of some of the Minor Injury Units out of hours was relatively small which could lead to a future debate about how the service was delivered in the out of hours period. However, the PCT believed that the MIUs were important and was committed to them. The key was to make the MIUs and A&E work together to ensure that both worked well.

He concluded by saying that he considered the report and its recommendations to be fair and that the Trust would respond as requested.

RESOLVED:

- That**
- (a) the findings of the review of the GP Out Of Hours Service be approved for recommendation to the Primary Care Trust;**
- and**
- (b) the Primary Care Trust's response to the Review be reported to the first available meeting of the Committee after the Trust has approved its response, with consideration then being given to the need for any further reports to be made.**

The meeting ended at 4.35 p.m.

CHAIRMAN

HEALTH SCRUTINY COMMITTEE WORK PROGRAMME

Report By: Chairman, Health Scrutiny Committee

Wards Affected

County-wide

Purpose

- 1 To consider the Committee's work programme.

Financial Implications

- 2 None

Background

- 3 In accordance with the Scrutiny Improvement Plan a report on the Committee's current work programme will be made to each of the scheduled quarterly meetings of this Scrutiny Committee. A copy of the current work programme, reflecting discussions at the Committee's informal meeting on 21st September, held instead of the scheduled formal meeting, is attached at appendix 1.
- 4 Members are reminded that guidance for developing an effective work programme is contained in the Scrutiny Handbook previously issued to Members and in the separate Government guidance on Health Scrutiny.
- 5 Should any urgent, prominent or high profile issue arise, as Chairman I may consider calling an additional meeting to consider that issue.
- 6 Should Members become aware of any issues they consider may be added to the scrutiny programme they should contact me to log the issue so that it may be taken in to consideration when planning future agendas or when revising the work programme.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT subject to any comment or issues raised by the Committee the Committee work programme be approved and reported to the Strategic Monitoring Committee.

BACKGROUND PAPERS

- None identified.

Health Scrutiny Committee Work Programme 2006/07

9 November 2006	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Informal meeting re Ambulance Trust
November (TBC)	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stroke Services • ENT Update • Response to Communication Review • Response to GP Out of Hours Services Review • Emergency Planning Update • Palliative Care • Update on National Service Framework
December 2006	
Items	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local Development Plan Briefing • Response to consultation on Specialist Children's Services • Report on Public Service Trust
Scrutiny Reviews	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Access to Health (Buses/hospital parking etc)
March 2007	
	Local Development Plan update
Scrutiny Reviews	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Access to Health (Buses/hospital parking etc)
Other issues to be Progressed	
PUBLIC HEALTH	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scrutiny Review of Key Public Health issues including inequalities in the South Wye Area • Delivery of the Priorities in the Choosing Health White Paper – How effectively Partners are Working Together • Councillors' potential role in managing public expectation within their constituencies 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cancer Services 	

Further additions to the work programme will be made as required

4 October 2006

